PHYS3018 Marking guide

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Descriptors | Indicative marks |
| ExceptionalDefinitive treatment; goes beyond the topic abstract and its requirements. Professional structure of the report; Elegant, skilful use of language; very rare grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors. Typos very rare. | > 90% |
| ExcellentComprehensive in addressing topic, all expected elements handled well (e.g. convincing results/conclusions); original approach applied, where appropriate. Elegant, skilful use of language with very rare grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors. Typos very rare. | 80-90% |
| Very GoodVery good in addressing topic; all essential, main points covered. Skilful use of language, rare grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors. Typos rare. | 70-80% |
| GoodFair in addressing topic; main elements noted; good choice of material and examples. Good use of language with few grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors. Few typos. | 60-70% |
| SatisfactoryTopic addressed, but with moderate effort or understanding; main points mentioned, but not fully developed; not all essential elements present; some minor elements are missing. Most errors removed in proofreading, some grammar, punctuation and spelling errors. | 50-60% |
| PoorTopic haphazardly addressed, little understanding, sloppy examples or descriptions; important elements missing. Errors in language, grammar, punctuation and spelling affect comprehensibility. | 40-50% |
| UnsatisfactoryTopic hardly addressed; information cannot be relied upon or is of very poor quality. Inappropriate language; poor grammar, punctuation and spelling. | < 40% |